

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/996,657	11/29/2001	Charles Raymond Degenhardt	010785-9003-03	6277	
23510	7590 03/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP			DESAI, RITA J		
P O BOX 180	PINCKNEY STREET 6		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MADISON, V	WI 53701		1625		
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				9		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/996,657	DEGENHARDT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rita J. Desai	1625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ror reply within the set or axtanded period for reply will, by statutate reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no avent, however, may a reply be tilly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, causa tha application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing data of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status						
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 E	December 2004				
·		s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the ments is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 17-19 and 23-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 17-19,23-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended to be the Extended to be the Extended to the Extende	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	- 1	∆ □	(DTO 440)			
2) Notice 3) Information Paper	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/09/2004</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		1.		
S Potent and T	rademark Office			A 1		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Off PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

The request filed on 12/09/2004 for a Continued Examination Application (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/996,657 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claims 1-16 have been cancelled.

Claims pending 17- 19, 23-27.

In paper # 11/20/2000 applicants elected the following group

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group III in Paper No. 2 is acknowledged.

However the applicants were unable to elect a disclosed species for search purposes.

Hence applicants changed the election to group IV, with a disclosed species as the first ppearing compound of column 2 on page 11.

Group IV is drawn to Claim 9 and 1,2,5,11-16 in part, drawn to compounds, harmaceutical compositions and methods of treating wherein D4 is CHR1, D5 is -0R6, R2 is s given in claim 6, R1 is a Hydrogen or a hydroxyl, x is 0 or 1, A4 is a six membered with one litrogen, R6 is aromatic group, a substituted aromatic group, carbocyclic group, classified in lass 546, 514, subclass 236, 326.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,657 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

The examiner had given a rejection on

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 3524955,

2567885, Berthold Richard et al.

The reference discloses the compounds of the invention. See

Spiro[cyclohexane-1,2'-[2H]indene], benzeneacetamide.

To overcome this rejection applicants specified that a and b be atleast 2 and also D1 is a CO and D2 is NH...

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,657

Art Unit: 1625

Claim 17-9, 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim 17 recites at least 2 for the definition of a and b, thus indicating the lower limit. However the upper limit is not defined and hence it is indefinite.

Applicants can overcome this by stating it is 2.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue". These factors include 1) the breadth of the claims, 2) the nature of the invention, 3) the state of the prior art, 4) the level of one of ordinary skill, 5) the level of predictability in the art, 6) the amount of direction provided by the inventor, 7) the existence of working examples, and 8) the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

The breath and scope of the claim encompasses treating (definition in the spec includes

preventing) any multidrug resistance and inhibiting protein activity.

The only disease described in the specifications are cancer and HIV.

The nature of the invention is highly unpredictable. Closely similar compounds in WO

01/58891 show that these compounds are useful to treat neuronal damage. Thus a slight change

in compound structure could change the activity. The applicants have not given an examples on

how it does treat the multidrug resistance or inhibit protein activity!

Thus the applicants have not provided any guidance to be able to treat any diseases.

The claim recites multidrug resistance. How does on measure it ultimately in a

mammal, it would be by the treating a diseases. Applicants have not indicated the disease in

the claim.

Also all cancers are not treatable by one drug. The receptor sites are different and the

same drug cannot be used to treat any and all cancers.

Not only have applicants not specified the diseases in the claims they have not enabled

them in the specifications.

Conclusion

The claims 17-19, 23-27 stand rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,657

Art Unit: 1625

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday,9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rita J. Desai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

R.D. March 9, 2005 RDesai 3/9/05